

Editors' Workshop

Seoul, South Korea, April 2013

Background resources

References and background reading

- Albert T (2000) *Winning the Publication Game*. 2nd Edition. Radcliffe Press, Oxford, UK.
- Anon (2007) New site pits 'published' vs. 'posted': Nature Precedings raises questions over the value of sharing findings before submitting to peer review. *The Scientist*.
www.theScientist.com Published 19th June 2007 08:50 PM GMT.
- Blum Jared A, Freeman Kalev, Dart Richard C, Cooper Richelle J (2009) Requirements and definitions in conflict of interest policies of medical journals. *JAMA* 302(20), 2230-2234.
- Benson Philippa J and Silver Susan C (2013) *What Editors Want: An author's guide to scientific journal publishing*. University of Chicago Press.
- Bollen J, Van de Sompel H, Hagberg A, Chute R (2009) A principal component analysis of 39 scientific impact measures. *PLoS ONE* 4(6). DOI:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006022>
- Campbell Robers, Pentz Ed and Borthwick Ian (2013) *Academic and Professional Publishing*. Chandos, UK.
- Craig Iain D *et al.* (2007) Do open access articles have greater citation impact? A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Infometrics* 1, 239-248. Preprint available online
www.publishingresearch.net/Citations.htm.
- Davidoff Frank (2004) Improving peer review: who's responsible? *BMJ* 328, 657-658. DOI:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.328.7441.657>.
- Errami Mounir, Garner (2008) A tale of two citations. *Nature* 451, 397-399. DOI:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/451397a>;
- Fanelli D (2009) How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systematic review and meta-analysis of survey data. *PLoS ONE* 4, e5738. DOI:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005738>
- Gøtzsche PC, *et al.* (2007) Ghost authorship in industry-initiated randomised trials. *PLoS Medicine* 4, e19. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040019>

- Groves Trish, Abbasi Kamran (2004) Screening research papers by reading abstracts (Please get the abstract right, because we may use it alone to assess your paper.) *BMJ* 329(7464), 470–471. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.329.7464.470>.
- Hames Irene (2007) *Peer Review and Manuscript Management in Scientific Journals - Guidelines for Good Practice*. Blackwell, UK. 248pp.
- Hyland K, Salager-Meyer F (2008) Scientific writing. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology* 42(1), 297-339. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/aris.2008.1440420114>.
- Jefferson T, Wager E, Davidoff F (2001) Measuring the Quality of Editorial Peer Review. *JAMA* 287(21), 2786-2790. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.21.2786>
- Morris Sally, Barnas Ed, LaFrenier Douglas and Reich Margaret (2013) *The Handbook of Journal Publishing*. Cambridge University Press.
- Macri Erin M, Khan Karim M (2011) Single-blind peer review: an appropriate compromise between two ideals? *Learned Publishing* 24, 164-165. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1087/20110302>
- NFAIS (2010) *Best Practices for Publishing Journal Articles*. National Federation of Advanced Information Services http://www.nfaeis.org/files/file/Best_Practices_Final_Public.pdf
- O'Brien Jeremy, Baerlocher Mark Otto, Newton Marshall, Gautam Tina, Noble Jason (2009) Honorary coauthorship: does it matter? *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal* 60(5), 231-236. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carj.2009.09.001>
- Peters DP, Ceci SJ (1982) Peer-Review Practices of Psychological Journals: The Fate of Published Articles, Submitted Again. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 5(2), 187-195.
- Regazzi John J, Aytac Selenay (2008) *Author-perceived Quality Characteristics of Science, Technology and Medicine (STM) Journals*. ALPSP, UK.
- Rossner Mike, Yamada Kenneth M (2004) What's in a picture? The temptation of image manipulation. *Journal Cell Biology* 166(1), 11-15. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200406019>
- Rowland Fytton (2009) Copy-editing - essential or frill? *Learned Publishing* 22(1), 71-72. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1087/095315108X378802>
- Sand-Jensen Kaj (2007) How to write consistently boring scientific literature. *Oikos* 116, 723-727. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15674.x>
(<http://www.indiana.edu/~halllab/GradRes/BoringWriting.pdf>)
- Schroter Sara *et al.* (2004) Does the type of competing interest statement affect readers' perceptions of the credibility of research? Randomised trial. *BMJ* 328, 742-743. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38035.705185.F6>
- Smith Richard (2003) When to retract? *BMJ* 327, 883-884. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7420.883>
- Smith Richard (2006) *The Trouble with Medical Journals*. Royal Society of Medicine Press, UK.
- Tenopir Carol, King Donald (2008) Electronic Journals and Changes in Scholarly Article Seeking and Reading Patterns. *D-Lib Magazine* 14 (11/12). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1045/november2008-tenopir>

- Vaux David L (2011) Double blind review. *Learned Publishing*, 24, 165-167. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1087/20110303>
- Wates Edward, Campbell Robert (2007) Author's version vs. publisher's version: an analysis of the copy-editing function. *Learned Publishing* 20(2) 121-129. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1087/174148507X185090>
- Weissmann Gerald (2008) Writing science: the abstract is poetry, the paper is prose. *The FASEB Journal* 22, 2601-2604. <http://www.fasebj.org/cgi/content/full/22/8/2601>
- Williamson Alex (2003) What will happen to peer review? *Learned Publishing* 15, 15-20. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1087/095315103320995041>
- Young NS, Ioannidis JPA, Al-Ubaydli O (2008) Why current publication practices may distort science. *PLoS Medicine* 5(10). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050201>
- Zhang, Helen (Yuehong) (2010) CrossCheck: an effective tool for detecting plagiarism. *Learned Publishing* 23(1), 9-14. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1087/20100103>

Useful journals

- European Science Editing*: <http://www.ease.org.uk/publications/european-science-editing>
- Journal of Scholarly Publishing*: <http://www.utpjournals.com/Journal-of-Scholarly-Publishing.html>
- Learned Publishing*: <http://www.learned-publishing.org>

Websites

- ALPSP (Association of Learned, Professional and Society Publishers) www.alpsp.org
they have a useful journal (*Learned Publishing*) and also a monthly newsletter (for members only).
- Author and referee resources from Nature:
http://www.nature.com/nature/submit/get_published/
- COPE: <http://publicationethics.org/>
- CrossRef (including CrossCheck and CrossMark): www.crossref.org
- EASE (European Association of Scientific Editors) – www.ease.org.uk
- EQUATOR: www.equator-network.org/
- European Medical Writers Association (EMWA) <http://www.emwa.org/>
- Peer review congress. 2013 is in Chicago: see
<http://www.peerreviewcongress.org/index.html>
- PLoS Article-level metrics: <http://article-level-metrics.plos.org/>
- Scholarly Kitchen blog: <http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/>
- Sense about Science, Peer Review –
<http://www.senseaboutscience.org.uk/index.php/site/project/29/>
- Social bookmarking of articles: CiteUlike: www.citeulike.org/

Social bookmarking of articles: Menderley: <http://www.mendeley.com>
 World Association of Medical Editors - <http://www.wame.org/>
 Writing and editing assistance: AuthorAid: www.authoraid.org
 Writing and editing assistance: Edanz Group: <http://www.edanzgroup.com/>
 Writing and editing assistance: guidelines from the BMJ, <http://www.bmj.com/about-bmj/resources-authors>
 Writing and editing assistance: Mediterranean Editors and Translators (MET):
www.metmeetings.org/

Useful organisations

Association of Learned and Professional Society Publishers (ALPSP)

ALPSP represent the interests of those involved in academic publishing. Members include publishers from around the world, especially those working in the in non-profit environment. They provide a wealth of online resources, a monthly newsletter about publishing developments, a quarterly scholarly journal, advice on publishing issues and training courses in publishing (mostly held in the UK). www.alpsp.org

Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE)

An organisation that advises editors. Their website includes useful policy statements for editors. By becoming a member editors have access to their advice on disputes. www.publicationethics.org.uk

Council of Science Editors (CSE)

A major US-based organisation. Has regular meetings and educational programme. www.councilscienceediting.org

European Association of Science Editors (EASE)

The European Association of Science Editors (EASE) is an internationally oriented community of individuals from diverse backgrounds, linguistic traditions and professional experience who share an interest in science communication and editing. It welcomes members from every corner of the world www.ease.org.uk

International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE)

This organisation provides the key guidelines used by journals around the world: the Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication. www.icmje.org/

The International Society of Managing and Technical Editors

The mission of The International Society of Managing and Technical Editors (ISMTE) is to enhance the professionalism of scholarly journals' editorial office staff by providing networking and training infrastructure, establishing and providing resources for best practices, and studying, benchmarking and reporting on editorial office practices. <http://www.ismte.org/>

World Association of Medical Editors

A worldwide organisation for editors. Has policy statements, forum, booklists etc. www.wame.org